



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/442,977	11/18/1999	DR. MARTIN MAASS	99-P-7370-US	6618
759	90 01/13/2003			
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 186 WOOD AVERAGE SOUTH			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CARL STUART	
ISELIN, NJ 08	8830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ž				
Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	Examiner M : 110	Art Unit 3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n no event, however, may a reph the statutory minimum of thirty (and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAN	MONTH(S) FROM be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the 30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13/02		·				
** ?							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) X Claim(s) 1-9, 14-2	0, 37 18	is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.				
5) Claim(s) 4, 14-20 and 38.	-47	is/are allowed.					
5) Claim(s) 4, 14-20 and 38- 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9, 33-37	and 48	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)		is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	☐ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:							
_							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) .					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti							
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision	nal application has been	n received.					
15) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/442,977

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, the phrase "the at least two pistons" lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 33-37 and 48 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Tanaka.

Davis disables a second set of pumps (35) after starting by connecting these pumps to a bypass. While the two sets of pumps <u>are</u> serially connected at starting, the other set (34) is never connected to the bypass(i.e. chamber (76)). Furthermore, "normally" is not clearly defined in the claims and since the bypass is open at all times except starting then it can be said that it is "normally" open.

Tanaka applies as noted in the last Office action.

It would have been obvious to use a solenoid actuator to open and close fluid flow to the pistons of Davis since such an actuator is used to open and close the bypass of Tanaka. For the broader claims, it is only necessary to note that the two sets of pistons in Tanaka are of different sizes, as is common in the art when additional fuel outputs are selectively required.

Application/Control Number: 09/442,977

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 4, 14-20 and 38-47 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, the applicant has argued that Tanaka's two pistons are both connected to the bypass because they feed a common conduit which goes to the bypass valve. In Davis only one set of pistons is ever connected to the bypass.

Furthermore, as noted above, Davis can be said to have a "normally open" bypass in that the bypass is open during all engine operating conditions except starting.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner